



## LAW.

SUPREME COURT.—MONDAY.

BEFORE their Honors Sir ALFRED STEPHEN, C.J., Mr. JUSTICE HARGRAVE, and Mr. JUSTICE FAUCETT.

1. **RE AND OTHERS V. CLOSE AND OTHERS—DEMURRER.**

This cause, which had been argued and awarded judgment, was over for another argument on certain questions which had arisen during the consideration of the case by their Honors.

MACKENZIE V. DUNN AND OTHERS.—APPEAL.

The plaintiff, Dunn, had been deposed by his Honor the Primary Judge in Equity.—Mr. Justice Hargrave dissenting, with costs, an application to set aside a settlement.

Mr. Gordon appeared for the appellant, and Mr. Owen for the respondent.

The settlement in question was made by one Wall, a freeholder of the town of Buxton, Derbyshire, on the 1st January, 1868, to trustees, in favour of his wife and children, of a 58-acre farm in that district. He became insolvent in July, 1867. The plaintiff in the case, and present appellant, was the sole assignee of the plaintiff's estate.

The defendants and present respondents were the trustees under the settlement. Wall was indebted to various parties at the time of the settlement, and in particular to two persons to whom he was also indebted at the time of his insolvency. It was admitted that it with the settle- ment, he had given his entire estate to the trustees, and to the trustee the effect of the settlement itself would be to make Wall insolvent, but as Wall remained in possession of and dealt with the crops after the settlement, it had been maintained that they did not pass, and that he did not do so by the mere act of making such a settlement. The question upon which the court turned, however, was whether there was sufficient proof that the present debts to the two persons in question were due at the time of the settlement, and it was held that the plaintiff's case was defective upon this point.

The Court, therefore, dismissed the appeal with costs.

## METROPOLITAN DISTRICT COURT.

MONDAY.

BEFORE their Honors Mr. District Court Judge DOWLING.

BROWN V. WILSON AND ANOTHER.

This was the trial of an issue from the Supreme Court. Jabez Brown and William Wright, trading under the name and style of Jabez Brown &amp; Co., had sold and delivered, and for work and labour, plaintiffs claimed £22 8s. 8d. Verdict for plaintiff in amount claimed. For the plaintiff, Mr. J. F. Fitzpatrick, for the defendant, Mr. C. Davis.

This was an action brought by Thomas Allen and Jane his wife against Samuel Studds and William Parsons, trustees under the will of the late John Bird. The plaintiff claimed £100, having given £100 to the trustees.

The money was claimed under the will of John Bird, whose widow, Jane Bird, had been remarried to the male plaintiff. The defence was that plaintiff had occupied a house belonging to the late Bird's estate; and had not paid the £100, but had given £100 to the trustees.

And they further pleaded that there was no need to give the legacy, however, was whether there was sufficient proof that the present debts to the two persons in question were due at the time of the settlement, and it was held that the plaintiff's case was defective upon this point.

The Court, therefore, dismissed the appeal with costs.

to the conduct of the constable as to his own. Their Worship thought that the charge was not made out, and therefore to postpone the inquiry would be a waste of time. Discharged.

Mackenzie was convicted of using indecent language, and of wantonly endangering public safety by throwing stones in Elizabeth-street, and for each offence was sentenced to pay 10s., or to be imprisoned three days.

Mary Ann Mills, Ellen O'Neill, Mary Ann Redmond, and Mary Jane Miller, were sentenced at idle and disorderly persons, to be imprisoned one month.

Richard Carroll was found guilty of having wilfully broken three squares of glass, the property of the Newtown Municipality, and was ordered to pay 6s. damages, to be paid within seven days.

On the other paper were nine cases, of which three were dismissed, one was postponed, and two were not prosecuted. Waters v. Sullivan was a case in which acting sub-inspector Waters charged John Sullivan, proprietor of a hotel, with the sum of £100, which he had paid to him, namely, that he did, on the 6th August, 1867, pay to him an internal communication between a place of public resort (the Prince of Wales Theatre) not less than the sum of £100, and a house (his own) which is let for £100 per month. The defendant was of the same as that addressed on a former occasion a few weeks ago, which was fully reported. On that occasion the information was dismissed. The present Bench (Messrs. Pearce and Vickery) took a different view of the case, and directed that the defendant should be held to pay a penalty of 5s. Mr. Davis, counsel for the defendant, asked for a copy of the depositions, and gave notice of his intention to proceed by a writ of habeas corpus for the production of the evidence. The court turned, however, was whether there was sufficient proof that the present debts to the two persons in question were due at the time of the settlement, and it was held that the plaintiff's case was defective upon this point.

The Court, therefore, dismissed the appeal with costs.

## WATER POLICE COURT.

MONDAY.

BEFORE their Worship the Water Police Magistrate, Messrs. Thompson, Smithers, and Neale.

Fifteen persons were fined for drunkenness.

Patrick Connor, who was fined 20s. for being drunk, was further ordered to pay a penalty of 40s., or to be imprisoned for days in gaol, for violent behaviour at the police station.

James Edwards and Robert Roberts, for indecent behaviour, were sentenced to pay a fine of 20s., with the addition of seven days imprisonment, the former, and 10s. in default of the latter.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with refusing to contribute to the support of his illegitimate child, of which she is the mother and he the father. The Bench made an order for the payment of 9s. weekly to two yearlings, and to pay complainant's costs, £1 10s.

John Farrell (unm.), was brought before the Court, on remand, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale. In addition to the witness names who gave evidence in this case, there were two others, Wilson, Sarah, Fahey, and Murray.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on summons by James Hougham, with stealing from the plaintiff's house, and was remanded, charged with stealing one heifer, the property of Thomas Wilson, of Monash Vale.

The plaintiff said that he had been married to the female plaintiff and had given her to her deceased husband, the female plaintiff said that she had been married to her deceased husband, three months before his death. She did not know whether he had any children by her.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff claimed the £37 as being equitably due to his clients—or rather to the female plaintiff, now Mrs. Allen, from the defendants, as trustees of Mr. Bird's estate.

The counsel for the defendants contended that the plaintiff had no such an equity of jurisdiction, and that he was entitled to nothing.

David Dunk was charged, on

## REMINISCENCES OF CHARLES DICKENS.

Mr. Blanchard Jerrold contributes to the July number of the *Gentleman's Magazine* an "In Memoriam" paper on the great novelist, from which we extract the following:—

When Adele's presence was dying, and suffering, the nature of a slow internal disease, she expressed a craving to see Charles Dickens, and talk with him. He went to her, and found a mourning house. The lady was stretched upon a couch, heroically enduring her agony. The appearance of Dickens's earnest, sympathetic face was immediate relief. She asked him whether he had left a basin of ice, and a sponge. She said, "Then give me that, and then, and don't notice me when I crush it between my teeth; it soothes my pain; and we can talk."

The womanlike tenderness—the wholeness—with which Dickens would enter into the delicacies of such a situation, must instantly have put the mind of all who knew him. The bosom of a saint, ministering to the painful, and the most sympathetic and sustaining of comforters, who can doubt?

"Do you every pray?" the poor lady asked.

"Every morning and every evening," was Dickens's answer, in that rich sonorous voice which crowds happily can remember; but of which they can best understand all the eloquence who have seen him. "I have often seen him speak of sacred things; of suffering, of wrong, or of misfortune. His engaging manner, when he came suddenly in contact with a sick friend, defies description; but from his own narrative of his walk with my father (Douglas Jerrold), which I told me made his heart throb, and which he endeared to his patients, and kindly counsel, and designed humour with which he went through with it. My father was very ill; but under Dickens's thoughtful care he had rallied before they reached the Temple. "We strolled through the Temple," Dickens wrote me, "on our way to a boat, and I have a lively recollection of him stamping in the Temple, and then, in one of the other places, pulling his hair back, laughing in his heartiest manner at a ridiculous remembrance we had in common, which I had presented in some exaggerated light, to divert him." Then again of the same day—"The dinner party was a large one, and I did not sit near him at table. But he and I arranged before we went to dinner that he was only to eat some simple dish that we agreed upon, and a glass of sherry and water." Then—

"We exchanged, 'God bless you, and shoo hands.' And they never met again."

But how full of wise consideration is all this day spent with an invalid friend, in the midst of merriment; even to the ridiculous remembrance presented in some exaggerated light, to divert him. A few weeks ago, and was observed at a glance, by that most masterly and piercing observer, to be in low spirits and feeble. Whereupon, Dickens, who had ample and momentous business of his own on hand, had sketched a pleasant day together: a *tete-a-tete* dinner and a walk. In short, to watch the many sides of his amanuensis, and the friends of the rectory, the good of other people, but as his command was to be astonished at his extraordinary vitality. How good was he to all who had the slightest claim on him, who shall tell? But that when Hephworth Dixon said over my father's dust may be assuredly repeated by the narrow bed near Macaulay, Sheridan, and Handel. If every one who has lived upon the earth, and the hands of Dickens should cast a flower upon his grave, a mountain of roses will upon the greatest man's breast.

Another occasion thrusts itself through a crowd of recollections. A very dear friend of mine, and of many others to whom literature is a staff, had died. To say that his family had claimed on Charles Dickens, is to say that they were promptly acknowledged, and satisfied in every particular which divide the gift, sweeten the bread, and warm the wine. I asked a connexion of our dead friend whether he had seen the poor wife and children.

"Seen them!" he answered. "I was there to-day. They are removed into a charming cottage: they have

nothing about them; and, just think of this, when I burst into one of the parlours, in my eager survey of the room, I saw a man in his shirt sleeves, upon steps, hammering away lustily." He added, "It was Charles Dickens, and he was hanging the pictures for the widow."

I had met him about the middle of May, at Charing-cross, and had remarked that he had aged very much in appearance. The thought-lines of his face had deepened, and the hair had whitened. In a word, he had lost his youth. But I was then in error. I was mistaken, and that it could not be Dickens; for that was not the vigorous rapid walk, with the stick lightly held in the alert hand, which had always belonged to him. It was he, however, but with a certain solemnity of expression in the face, and a deeper earnestness in the dark eyes. However, he said me, and shook my hand, the youthful brightness and sunshine swept away the gloom and sadness; and he was as bright in the old kind way—not in the least about himself—but about my doings, about Dore, about London as a subject (and who ever knew it half so well as he, in all its highways and byways?)—about all that could interest me, that occurred to him at the moment. He was walking with a dear friend of his a few weeks ago, when this one said, speaking of Edwin Dred—

"Well, you, or we, are approaching the mystery."

Dickens, who had been, and was at the moment all vivacity, extinguished his gaiety, and fell into a long and silent reverie, from which he never broke during the remainder of the walk. Was he pondering another and deeper mystery than any his brain could unravel, as his mystery was over the hearts and brains of his brethren?

We can never know.

## SEA-AIR.

(From the *British Medical Journal*.)

Is what cases in the sea-side especially to be recommended? It is a little side-remark to say how small is the amount of definite information which we have in answer to that question. Although the effects of change of air and scene are beneficial to all, and although the more special effects of sea-air are beneficial to most, there can be no doubt that persons differ in their susceptibility to advantage from this source. With not a few, the sea positively disagrees. Can we identify these? Can we make any rough classification of our clients, so that, you will derive the utmost possible benefit from going to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air will be hurtful? We fear but little progress has been made in this direction; yet we are dealing with one of the most useful and efficient of our therapeutic agents.

Putting aside the serofulous diathesis respecting which we agreed, we must still urge the question: Can we identify any particular scene which is good to be benefited by the sea? We believe that there is good reason to hold that bronchitis is very rarely met with in the sea-side, and never in association with the severe form of cachexia which often attends it in mountain districts. Now, bronchitis, when met with in the usual cause (i.e., when endemic, and not a local), is but the symptom of a general disease; and, as such, it is not to be expected that it will be of much benefit to be removed to the sea-side; you will get but little to you if it is matter of no concern where you go; and to you, again, sea-air







## AUCTION SALES.

Horses, heavy and light  
Vehicles, Harness, and Saddlery.

GEORGE KISS will sell by auction, at the Bazaar, THIS DAY, at 11 o'clock.

As above.  
Regular Sales at the Bazaar, daily; and at Camps-  
down, any afternoon.

Horses and Vehicles on hire.

Handsome Hack.

GEORGE KISS is instructed by Mr. J. Geddes, of Wollongong, to sell by auction, at the Bazaar, THIS DAY, at 11 o'clock.

A brown gelding, 4 years old, quiet to ride, with good paces.

Harness, Saddle, and Heavy Draught Horses.

DAWSON and CO. will sell by auction, at their Pitt-street Yards, at 11 o'clock, THIS DAY.

Stylish hacks, harness and heavy draught horses.

Compulsory Sale.

DAWSON and CO. are instructed to sell by auction, at their Pitt-street Yards, at 11 o'clock, THIS DAY.

A first-class entire draught horse, used to town work; one set of cart harness, complete; and two drays, in excellent order.

Horses. Horses.

DAWSON and CO. are instructed by G. W. M. Donald, Esq., to sell by auction, at the Campsdown Yards, on WEDNESDAY next, at 2.30, 30 head very superior heavy colts, and fillies, mostly bred from the stud of Charles Parker, Esq., of the Crookwell. These horses are in top condition.

To Lovers of Fancy Meat.

G. M. PITTS has received instructions from Thomas Holt, Esq., to sell by auction, WEDNESDAY next, at Ellis and Co.'s Yards, at half-past 11 o'clock.

7 prime fat alpacas.

G. M. PITTS has received instructions from Mr. William Gillett to sell by auction, on WEDNESDAY next, the 21st instant, at his Yards, 100 head of prime, 100 half-sharps.

50 head of prime and half-sharps, and fully 800 lbs. each.

G. M. PITTS has received instructions from Thomas Cook, Esq., to sell by auction, on THURSDAY next, the 22nd instant, at his Yards, Fullgar's, at half-past 11 o'clock.

150 prime fat cattle, padlock-fatted.

HENFREY and TOOHEY will sell by auction, at Railway Station, at 10 o'clock, THIS DAY.

Hay, straw, lucerne, &c. Terms, cash.

BUTLER and INGLIS will sell by auction, THIS DAY, at 10 o'clock, at Railway, Hay, straw, &c. Terms, cash.

S. GRAHAM will sell by auction, at the Railway Terminus, THIS DAY, at 10 o'clock, Hay, straw, &c. Terms, cash.

NOTICE.—T. R. SMITH will sell, at Railway, Hay, straw, &c. Terms, cash.

Also, at Square and Compass Yards, Milk cows and poultry.

WELLS and JAMES will sell by auction, at Railway, at 10, Hay, straw, &c. Terms, cash.

GOSPER and MOSES will sell by auction, at the Railway Station, THIS DAY, Tuesday, at 10 o'clock, Oat and Linseed hay, straw, potatoes, &c. Terms, cash.

Fine Fat Cows.

BUTLER and INGLIS have received instructions from J. S. Whitehead, Esq., Mount Broughton, to sell by auction, at their Yards, 794, George-street South, on WEDNESDAY next, at 11 o'clock prompt.

Now landing ex La Hogue.

36 packages of various general drapery, calicoes, prints, slops, hosiery, &c.

Particulars in future issue.

To Upholsterers and others.

CHAS. MOORE and CO. will sell by auction, THIS DAY, Tuesday, at their Stores, 167, Pitt-street.

Fancy silk matting

Printed furniture canvas

Silk damasks

Engravings, maple and gilt frames

Paper maché trays, flower stands, &c.

Terms at sale.

To Hotel-keepers, Furniture Dealers, and others.

Under Bill of Sale.

On the Premises, The Star Hotel, Pitt-street, Balmoral, near the Dry Dock Ferry.

S. LEVIN has received instructions to sell by auction, on the above premises, THIS DAY, at 11 o'clock.

The business fixtures, counter, bar-sagins, glasses, measures, household furniture, consisting of horsehair chairs, couches, tables, sofas, sideboards, pictures, pine glasses, oilcloth, iron bedsteads, and beds, chests of drawers, washstands, toilet tables, kitchen utensils, stove, &c.

Terms, cash.

Continuation of Sale of Undescribed Pledges.

LISTER and SON will sell by public auction, THIS DAY, 20th instant, at their Rooms, Pitt-street, opposite the Victoria Theatre. The remainder of the undescribed pledges, paid with Mr. Sly, as advised.

Clothing at 11. Sundries at 3 o'clock.

In the insolvent Estate of Henry Edmunds, Licensed Victualler.

H. VAUGHAN has received instructions from the Official Assignee to sell by auction, THIS DAY, 20th instant, at their Stores, Pitt-street, opposite the Victoria Theatre.

Stocks of various articles of manufacture, consisting of vehicles, implements of trade, materials, &c., household furniture and effects.

P O S T O N E M E N T.  
8 CASES LOOKING-GLASSES.

The sale of the above goods advertised to take place this day, is postponed until FRIDAY, 23rd instant, in consequence of the whole not being landed.

JOHN SOLOMON, Auctioneer.

Bank Auction Rooms, George-street.

Damaged French Flowers.

Ditto Stays.

Ditto Boys' and Youth's Byzantine Shirts.

All more or less Damaged by Sea Water.

WEDNESDAY, September 21.

M. CHARLES TEAKLE has been instructed to sell by auction, at his Rooms, Wynyard-street, on WEDNESDAY next, at half-past 10 o'clock prompt.

Now landing ex La Hogue.

150 boxes French flowers.

Terms at sale.

EX MARIA BIRKIN, Taylor, master, from London, 685 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

1000 over 3 in diamond on H.W. & C. 1000.

## FUNERALS.

THE FRIENDS of Mr. JOHN O'CONNOR are invited to attend his funeral, from his residence, Taylor-street, Surry Hills, THIS AFTERNOON, at half-past 2 o'clock. C. KINSELLA and SONS, undertakers, 118, South Head Road; 737, George-street.

## PUBLIC COMPANIES.

UNIVERSAL MARINE INSURANCE CO. (Limited), Chief Office, 35, Cornhill, London. Paid up Capital, £250,000.

W. H. MACKENZIE, Jun., Agent, 96, Pitt-street.

NATIONAL MARINE INSURANCE CO. of S. A. W. H. MACKENZIE, Jun., Agent, 96, Pitt-street.

LIFE INSURANCE.—Notes and all information, Apply to W. H. MACKENZIE, Jun., 96, Pitt-street.

AUSTRALIAN MUTUAL PROVIDENT SOCIETY.—Established 1849.

FOR MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE, &c.

Principal Office—New Pitt-street, Sydney.

## DIRECTORS:

George King, Esq., M.P., Chairman.

John Smith, M.D., Deputy Chairman.

Edwin T. Bell, Esq., Boulton Molineux, Esq.

John Fairfax, Esq., Samuel Lyons, Esq.

Accumulated and invested fund .. .. £700,000

Total annual revenue .. .. 200,000

Reserve fund .. .. £50,000

Prospects, Form of Proposal, &c., may be obtained from the Agents of the Society throughout the country; or on application to

ALEXANDER J. RALSTON, Secretary.

UNIVERSAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY.—Capital, £1,945,000. Income, £239,000.

Reserve fund, £240,000.

Established in 1808. Losses paid since the foundation of the company, £23,132,000.

Insurances effected on houses, manor-houses, and ships.

Losses from fire by lightning made good, and all claims on adjustment paid in Sydney.

FANNING, GRIFFITHS, and CO., Agents, Spring-street, Sydney.

NEW ZEALAND FIRE AND MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY. E. CHAPMAN and CO., Agents.

THE CITY BANK.—Interest allowed at the rate of three per cent. per annum on the weekly minimum balance of £100,000, where such balance does not fall below two hundred pounds.

By order of the Board.

J. THOS. FORD, Manager.

Sydney, 1st July, 1870.

## AMUSEMENTS.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—Mrs. MARY GLADSTONE is engaged and will shortly appear.

VICTORIA THEATRE.

## LAST WEEK OF THE WONDROUS AND VERSATILE GREGORIES.

THIS (Tuesday) EVENING, September 20th, GRAN COMPLIMENTARY REHEARSAL.

## NELLIE GERTRUDIE, the Lady Gymnast.

For the first time in Australia, THE GRAND ASCENT OF THE SPIRAL PYRAMID, by YOUNG ALBERT.

MISS BESSIE GREGORY will sing some original and patriotic gems.

MILLIE GERTRUDIE'S PET POODLES IN NOVEL AND GORGEOUS ATTIRE.

AERIAL ACT! by the graceful and intrepid MILLIE GERTRUDIE.

MILLIE GERTRUDIE, who, with her brother JOHN, will produce a series of the most thrilling evolutions.

First time of the original HARLEQUINADE PANTOMIME, OLD MOTHER WIDDLE WADDLE, or Harlequin and the Elfin Dogs and Cats.

SATURDAY next, GRAND DAY PERFORMANCE, at 2 o'clock. Box office at ELVYS, George-street.

VICTORIA THEATRE.—Go and see the Elephant.

VICTORIA THEATRE.—The Elephant performs TO-NIGHT.

VICTORIA THEATRE.—The Elephant's great Performance.

MADAME SOHIER'S WAXWORKS.

Patronised by His Royal Highness the DUKE of EDINBURGH.

Just arrived TOM THUMB and LADY, COMMODORE NUTT and MINNIE WARREN. Also, the UXBRIDGE MURDERER. Admission, 1s.; Children, 6d.

VICTORY VICTORIA ORANGE LODGE, No. 16, ANNIVERSARY SOIREE, will be held in the Temperance Hall, Pitt-street.

THIS EVENING, September 20th. The W. M. JAMES PRINGLE, Esq., in the Chair.

Song—"Di Tanti" .. .. Lady Amateur Address .. .. Captain

Song—"All the hay" .. .. Mr. A. Burn Recitation—"The Champion's Banner" .. .. Gentleman

Song—"The Star of Love" .. .. Mr. G. S. Hard Recitation—"My first Love Adventure" .. .. Mr. J. Anderson

Song—"Sunny days will come again" .. .. Mr. R. T. McNeilly

Song—"The Wishing-Gate" .. .. Mr. J. McNeilly

Recitation—"The Perplexed Twin" .. .. Mr. J. McNeilly

Song—"Madeline" .. .. Mr. G. S. Hard Recitation—"Tell's Address" .. .. Mr. A. Burn Song—"God save the Queen" .. .. Lady Amateur

Accompanist .. .. Lady Amateur.

S. T. J. A. M. E. S. H. A. L. Manager .. .. W. E. ROGERS.

Unbound Success of ROGER'S CALIFORNIA TROUPE.

Mr. A. F. SPILLER, the Champion Skater of the world, in conjunction with Miss ANNIE BRAMLEY, R.A.M., and the ROYAL CHRISTY MINSTRELS.

ENTERTAINMENT. See Bill.

ODDFELLOWS HALL, WOOLLAHRA.—N. S. W. AMATEUR CHRISTY MINSTRELS.

G. BAND ETHIOPIAN CONCERT, THIS EVENING. Front seats, 2s; back seats, 1s.

ODDFELLOWS HALL, WOOLLAHRA.—Golden Shoe Dance, TO-NIGHT.

ODDFELLOWS HALL, WOOLLAHRA.—Band of the 18th, TO-NIGHT.

ODDFELLOWS HALL, WOOLLAHRA.—Good Old Joe, TO-NIGHT.

ODDFELLOWS HALL, WOOLLAHRA.—Academy of Stars, TO-NIGHT.

N. S. W. AMATEUR CHRISTY MINSTRELS, TO-NIGHT, TO-NIGHT. J. Andrews, Secretary.

S. TEAM EXCURSION.

FUGLEYS' UNDANTED BUSES will leave Wyanay-square from half-past 2 to 9 o'clock, a.m.

on WEDNESDAY and SATURDAY MORNINGS.

S. STEPHEN'S SUNDAY SCHOOL, NEW-TOWN—EXCURSION to GLENFIELD, 20th.

JOHN SHARPE, Hon. Sec.

SCHOOL OF ARTS. Grand Re-opening for the Season.

Mr. A. F. SPILLER, the Champion Skater of the world, in conjunction with Miss ANNIE BRAMLEY, R.A.M., and the ROYAL CHRISTY MINSTRELS.

ENTERTAINMENT. See Bill.

ODDFELLOWS HALL, WOOLLAHRA.—G. BAND ETHIOPIAN CONCERT, THIS EVENING. Front seats, 2s; back seats, 1s.

ODDFELLOWS HALL, WOOLLAHRA.—Golden Shoe Dance, TO-NIGHT.

ODDFELLOWS HALL, WOOLLAHRA.—Band of the 18th, TO-NIGHT.

ODDFELLOWS HALL, WOOLLAHRA.—Good Old Joe, TO-NIGHT.

ODDFELLOWS HALL, WOOLLAHRA.—Academy of Stars, TO-NIGHT.

N. S. W. AMATEUR CHRISTY MINSTRELS, TO-NIGHT, TO-NIGHT. J. Andrews, Secretary.

S. TEAM EXCURSION.

FUGLEYS' UNDANTED BUSES will leave Wyanay-square from half-past 2 to 9 o'clock, a.m.

on WEDNESDAY and SATURDAY MORNINGS.

S. STEPHEN'S SUNDAY SCHOOL, NEW-TOWN—EXCURSION to GLENFIELD, 20th.

JOHN SHARPE, Hon. Sec.

PRINCE OF WALES OPERA HOUSE. THIS EVENING, TUESDAY.

In consequence of its great success, the performance of last evening will be repeated.

MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING.

Benedick .. .. Mr. HOSKINS

Beatrice .. .. Miss FLORENCE COLVILLE

Pedro .. .. Mr. J. BARTLETT

To conclude with THE JEALOUS WIFE.

Mrs. Oakley .. .. Miss FLORENCE COLVILLE

Mr. Oakley .. .. Mr. J. BARTLETT

Major Oakley .. .. Mr. HOSKINS

PRINCE OF WALES OPERA HOUSE.

MR. CHAS. YOUNG'S

H. E. F. T.

WEDNESDAY EVENING, 21st September.

under the distinguished patronage of H. R. H.

THE DUKE OF EDINBURGH, K.G.

He has graciously signified his intention of honouring the Theatre with his presence to witness the first appearance in Sydney of

MISS H. SHEPPARD,

in the character of NELL GWYNNE.

Early application for seats.

PRINCE OF WALES OPERA HOUSE.

MR. JAMES J. BARTLETT'S

under distinguished patronage,

FRIDAY, 23rd September.

First time Sydney of T. S. Robertson's comic drama,

in 3 acts, DAVID GARRICK,

played by Sothern 500 nights in London.

MR. AND MRS. BANDMANN.

TWENTY-FIVE NIGHTS ONLY.

SATURDAY EVENING next,

with entirely new scenes and appointments, and with a cast of characters specially prepared,

ROMEO AND JULIET.

Julieta .. .. Mrs. BANDMANN

Her first appearance in this Theatre.

Romeo .. .. Mr. J. BARTLETT

First time.

Mercutio .. .. Mr. J. BARTLETT

Mr. J. BARTLETT, former Lawrence.

SPECIAL NOTICE.

THURSDAY NEXT,

by desire, and for positively this night only,

ATER DARK.

TO THEATRICALS and MUSICIANS.—Ladies and gentlemen wishing to give their services for the benefit of Mr. W. T. JOHNSON, late proprietor of the Scandinavian Hall, to take place on SATURDAY EVENING next, at the ALEXANDER Theatre, 18, Pitt-street, Highbury Hotel, King-street, by Wednesday, at 10 a.m.

W. T. JOHNSON, Esq., General Manager.

W. T. JOHNSON, Esq., General Manager.